

# Language-Specific Effects on Story and Procedural Narrative tasks between Korean-speaking and English-speaking Individuals with Aphasia

Soo Eun Lee<sup>1</sup>, Jee Eun Sung<sup>1\*</sup>, Woon Jeong Kim<sup>1</sup> and Kyeong Ok Mo<sup>2</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Ewha Womans University, Department of Communication Disorders, South Korea
- <sup>2</sup> Bethesda Hospital, Speech and Language Clinic, South Korea

**Introduction** It is important to understand crosslinguistic differences in language impairment in aphasia, given that aphasia symptoms may vary depending on the linguistic characteristics of the language that individuals with aphasia used pre-morbidly (Bates & Wulfeck, 1989). Language-specific symptoms need to be considered for evaluating language impairment in aphasia. Korean, as a verb-final language, follows a canonical word order of Subject-Object-Verb (SOV), whereas a canonical word order for English is Subject-Verb-Object (SVO). Relative to English, Korean is a verb-salient language, given that verbs constitute a sentence as a core linguistic unit often with subject-deletion phenomenon (Sohn, 1999). The current study investigated crosslinguistic differences in story narrative and procedural discourse tasks between Korean speakers and English speakers with aphasia to examine crosslinguistic differences in aphasic symptoms.

**Methods** A total number of 30 individuals with aphasia (15 Korean- and 15 English-speaking individuals) participated in the study. They had a single, left-hemisphere stroke. Korean participants were diagnosed as aphasia based on the Korean version of Western Aphasia Battery (K-WAB) (Kim & Na, 2001). Data of English-speaking participants were obtained from "Aphasia Bank Project" (MacWhinney, Fromm, Forbes, & Holland, 2011). Two groups were matched by type and severity of aphasia. Tasks were employed from Aphasia Bank Protocol. Tasks for English speakers included 'Cinderella' as a story narrative and 'peanut butter and jelly sandwich' as a procedural discourse task. Due to the cultural differences between the two languages, we used a Korean traditional folk tale called 'Hungpu wa Nolpu (Hungpu and Nolpu)' as a story narrative and 'making Ramen (noodle soup)' as a procedural discourse task. Linguistic outcome measures included number of tokens for nouns and verbs, number of different types for nouns and verbs, number of nouns per utterance, number of verbs per utterance, verb-to-noun ratio for token (VNRs). Results Two-way mixed ANOVAs (language group x task type) revealed significant main effects of the language group for number of verbs type [ $F(1,28)=4.971, p<.05$ ], number of verbs per utterance [ $F(1,28)=23.795, p<.001$ ] and VNRs [ $F(1,28)=50.072, p<.001$ ]. The results suggested that Korean speakers produced more verbs and higher. Main effects for the task type were significant for all the linguistic measures except for VNRs, indicating participants generally produced more words in the story narrative. There was a significant interaction for the types of verbs [ $F(1,28)=4.451, p=.05$ ]. A two-way interaction was significant for nouns per utterance [ $F(1,28)=14.740, p=.01$ ]. The interaction was due to the pattern that English speakers produced more nouns per utterance in a procedural task, whereas Korean speakers showed the opposite pattern with more nouns in a story narrative. Discussion

Results suggested that Korean-speaking individuals with aphasia produced more numbers of different verbs, number of verbs per utterance and higher VNRs than English speakers. Both groups generated more words in story. The significant two-way interactions between the language group and task type suggested that there are task-specific effects on linguistic measures across the groups. The study implied that the linguistic characteristics differentially affected language symptoms of aphasia across the different languages and task types.

Figure 1

Language Group	#Verb type (story)	#Verb type (procedural)	Noun/Utt. (story)	Noun/Utt. (procedural)	Verb/Utt. (story)	Verb/Utt. (procedural)	VNR (story)	VNR (procedural)
English-speakers average	7.80	4.13	1.52	1.99	0.73	0.59	0.46	0.26
SD	4.89	5.25	0.48	0.81	0.40	0.41	0.17	0.11
Korean-speakers average	14.07	5.60	1.69	1.28	1.38	1.53	0.87	0.93
SD	8.49	2.35	0.62	0.48	0.58	0.53	0.40	0.47

## References

- Bates, E., & Wulfeck, B. (1989). Crosslinguistic studies of aphasia. *The crosslinguistic study of sentence processing*, 328-371.
- Sohn, H-M. (1999). *The Korean language*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Kim, H. & Na D. (2001). 『Korean version-The Western Aphasia Battery, K-WAB』 . Seoul: Paradise Welfare Foundation.
- MacWhinney, B., Fromm, D., Forbes, M. & Holland, A. (2011). Aphasia Bank: Methods for studying discourse. *Aphasiology*, 25, 1286-1307.

Keywords: Crosslinguistic, Aphasia, Task type, SOV-language, SVO-language

Conference: Academy of Aphasia 53rd Annual Meeting, Tucson, United States, 18 Oct - 20 Oct, 2015.

Presentation Type: Poster

Topic: Not student first author

Citation: Lee S, Sung J, Kim W and Mo K (2015). Language-Specific Effects on Story and Procedural Narrative tasks between Korean-speaking and English-speaking Individuals with Aphasia. *Front. Psychol. Conference Abstract: Academy of Aphasia 53rd Annual Meeting*. doi: 10.3389/conf.fpsyg.2015.65.00042

Received: 30 Apr 2015; Published Online: 24 Sep 2015.

\* Correspondence: PhD. Jee Eun Sung, Ewha Womans University, Department of Communication Disorders, Seoul, 120-750, South Korea, jeesung@ewha.ac.kr