

The current database can support a wide range of empirical studies. Here is a partial listing of study ideas. We encourage members to conduct any of these studies that interest them.

- a. Clinician Competition -- to see which clinician elicits the most speech within a period of time. Audrey to head the judging panel.
- b. Research on treatment effects -- Heather Wright's project is an example.
- c. Lexical analyses:
 - Light verbs
 - Nouns vs. pronouns
 - VOCD
- d. Error analyses: see the error coding sheet
- e. Cinderella story analysis:
 - complete analysis of the lexicon
 - develop a Guttman scale for proposition or action mention based on control (order of mention could be important)
 - Grouping/Typing issues – done in the context of the statistical analysis
 - Adding measures from the current protocol (particularly from error analysis)
 - Additional new measures
 - Studying clinician judgments in terms of between-clinician match and match to test
 - Relation to medical issues
- f. Discourse analyses
 - Topic match
 - Proposition match, LSA
 - CA, sequencing
 - Gestural use
 - Formulaic language
- g. Disfluency analysis can be conducted by counting
 - false starts, retraces
 - types of terminators (period, trailing off, incompleteness)
 - pauses, word length (using TIMEDUR)
 - stuttering, approaches
- h. Phonological analyses, Praat, Phon
- i. Computational issues, automaticity of extraction, reliability of parsing
- j. Measures: LSA, scalability, classifiers, IPSyn/DSS
- k. Ratings: WISDOM, Struggle, Colorado scales
 - a. Naive listeners rate transcripts or media on various measures
 - b. Lisa & Gail measures -> information density, cognitive junk lowers score
- l. Treatment effects at Centers, life satisfaction
- m. Multilingual, bilingual issues, protocol localization

n. Verbs -- quantity, quality, density